



Low-level laser therapy as a treatment for chronic pain

J. Derek Kingsley^{1*}, Timothy Demchak² and Reed Mathis³

¹ Human Performance and Autonomic Studies Laboratory, Department of Exercise Physiology, Kent State University, Kent, OH, USA

² Department of Applied Medicine, Indiana State University, Terre Haute, IN, USA

³ Reed Mathis DC, Tallahassee, FL, USA

*Correspondence: jkingsle@kent.edu

Edited by:

Evangelos A. Christou, University of Florida, USA

Reviewed by:

Kelly Anne Kaiser, University of Calgary, Canada

Keywords: fibromyalgia, myofascial pain syndrome, class IIIb laser, analgesia

Chronic pain is defined as pain that persists for greater than 12 weeks (Task-Force, 1994) and currently affects roughly 30% of the population in the United States (Johannes et al., 2010). The most common method for managing chronic pain has traditionally been pharmacological (Nalamachu, 2013). These treatments often include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids, acetaminophen, and anticonvulsants (Nalamachu, 2013). Alternative medicine is now also being used more frequently to treat chronic pain and may consist of acupuncture (McKee et al., 2013), Tai Chi (Wang et al., 2010; Wang, 2012), and low-level laser therapy (LLLT) (Enwemeka et al., 2004; Ay et al., 2010). The focus of this manuscript is to highlight the physiological aspects of LLLT, and to discuss its application for those suffering from chronic pain, alone and in combination with exercise. It will also provide justification for the use of LLLT using specific data and case studies from the existing literature which have resulted in positive outcomes for those suffering from chronic pain.

The physiological mechanisms of LLLT are not well-understood and the mechanisms tend to be very broad (Yamamoto et al., 1988; Kudoh et al., 1989; Campana et al., 1993; Sakurai et al., 2000; Chow et al., 2007; Moriyama et al., 2009; Cidral-Filho et al., 2014). One hypothesis is that there may be an increase in nociceptive threshold after LLLT resulting in neural blockade, specifically an inhibition of A and C neural fibers (Kudoh et al., 1989; Chow et al., 2007). This inhibition may be mediated by altering

the axonal flow (Chow et al., 2007) or by inhibiting neural enzymes (Kudoh et al., 1989). In addition, data suggests an increase in endorphin production (Yamamoto et al., 1988) and opioid-receptor binding via opioid-containing leukocytes with LLLT (Cidral-Filho et al., 2014). LLLT may also mimic the effects of anti-inflammatory drugs by attenuating levels of prostaglandin-2 (PGE2) (Campana et al., 1993) and inhibiting cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) (Sakurai et al., 2000). In addition, data have suggested that LLLT may augment levels of nitric oxide, a powerful vasodilator, which would in turn act to increase blood flow and assist with healing (Samoilova et al., 2008; Moriyama et al., 2009; Cidral-Filho et al., 2014; Mitchell and Mack, 2013). While the mechanisms have not been completely explained, it is clear that LLLT may have an analgesic effect.

Studies have demonstrated that LLLT may have positive effects on symptomology associated with chronic pain (Fulop et al., 2010; Hsieh and Lee, 2013); however this finding is not universal (Ay et al., 2010). A meta-analysis utilizing 52 effect sizes from 22 articles on LLLT and pain from Fulop et al. (2010) demonstrated an overall effect size of 0.84. This would be classified as a large effect size and suggests a strong inclination for the use of LLLT to reduce chronic pain. Twenty-two studies were utilized with doses ranging from 1 to 30 J/cm². On the other hand, a meta-analysis from Gam et al. (1993) demonstrated no effect of LLLT on musculoskeletal pain but this study was published over 20 years ago when LLLT was just emerging. More recently data from

Ay et al. (2010) have reported no difference in chronic pain compared to placebo using twice weekly treatment 5 days a week for 3 weeks. Treatment consisted of a total energy of 40 J/cm² (850 nm, 100 mV, a treatment spot area of 0.07 cm², 4 min over each of the four different points). Taken together, it is hard to assess whether LLLT is an effective modality. However, it is clear that LLLT may be effective in treating chronic pain in many individuals and should not be overlooked as a treatment modality.

A systematic review and meta-analysis from 16 randomized control studies on LLLT and neck pain (Chow et al., 2009) interpreted the analysis that LLLT caused an immediate decrease in pain for acute neck pain and up to 22 weeks post in chronic neck pain patients. Recently, in a double blinded placebo control study Leal et al. (2014) reported a decrease pain and increase in function in patients with knee pain.

One issue with these meta-analyses is that participants were grouped together, under the heading of chronic pain. However, chronic pain has different manifestations which inhibit the ability to make general observations. Separate sub-headings of chronic pain may include but are not limited to chronic neck pain and lower back pain, myofascial pain syndrome, and fibromyalgia. A meta-analysis by Gross et al. (2013) worked to separate out the effect of LLLT on a variety of different conditions. Based on their review, the effect of LLLT on chronic neck pain has a moderate level of evidence for effectiveness when using 830 or 940 nm but not 632.8 nm. However,